

REMARKS

Claim 6 has been amended to depend from claim 2.

In the previously filed Amendment, claim 6 was inadvertently amended to depend from claim 3. However, claim 6 more appropriately depends from claim 2, as reflected in the present Amendment.

In view of the above, each of the presently pending claims in this application is believed to be in immediate condition for allowance. Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw the outstanding rejection of the claims and to pass this application to issue.

Dated: November 29, 2002

Respectfully submitted,

By 
Brian J. Hairston, Reg. No. 46,750
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ, LLP
1990 M Street, N.W., Suite 800
Washington, DC 20036-3425
(202) 331-7111
(202) 293-6229 (Fax)
Attorneys for Applicant

MARKED-UP REVISIONS

IN THE CLAIMS:

6. (Amended) The chemical supply system of claim [3] 2, wherein said shaker is controlled such that the absolute value of a negative pressure at the time of sucking said liquid chemical in one period of oscillation is as small as possible and suction time is longer than discharge time when said shaker oscillates and drives said movable wall.